IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	2:05-CV-0021
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$\text{\tin}\text{\tint{\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texitit{\texitilex{\texitilex{\texitt{\texi}\text{\texitilex{\texitilex{\texi}\tilex{\tinttilex{\tiint{\texitilex{\tiint{\texitilex{\tiin}\tiint{\

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DENY APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL

Came this day for consideration the July 22, 2005 application to proceed *in forma* pauperis on appeal filed by petitioner HENNESSEY HUNT. On July 8, 2008, a Notice of Deficiency was entered, ordering petitioner to submit, on or before July 28, 2005, an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal demonstrating his inability to pay.

On July 22, 2005, petitioner submitted an application to proceed IFP. Petitioner did not submit a certified copy of his inmate trust account but has instead submitted "Inmate Trust Fund Statement of Account" documents. Petitioner has represented to the Court, and the submitted documents support petitioner's claim, that he currently has \$744.00 in his prison account. Petitioner has sufficient funds to proceed on appeal.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the RECOMMENDATION of the United States Magistrate Judge to the United States District Judge that petitioner's "Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*" be DENIED.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE

The United States District Clerk is directed to send a file-marked copy of this Report and Recommendation to petitioner and to counsel of record for respondent by the most efficient means available.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

ENTERED this 22nd day of July 2005.

CLINTON E. AVERITTE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

* NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT *

Any party may object to these proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. In the event a party wishes to object, they are hereby NOTIFIED that the deadline for filing objections is eleven (11) days from the date of filing as indicated by the file mark on the first page of this recommendation. Service is complete upon mailing, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), and the parties are allowed a 3-day service by mail extension, Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e). Therefore, any objections must be <u>filed</u> on or before the fourteenth (14th) day after this recommendation is filed. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); R. 4(a)(1) of Miscellaneous Order No. 6, as authorized by Local Rule 3.1, Local Rules of the United States District Courts for the Northern District of Texas.

Any such objections shall be made in a written pleading entitled "Objections to the Report and Recommendation." Objecting parties shall file the written objections with the United States District Clerk and serve a copy of such objections on all other parties. A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation contained in this report shall bar an aggrieved party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings, legal conclusions, and recommendation set forth by the Magistrate Judge in this report and accepted by the district court. See Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996); Rodriguez v. Bowen, 857 F.2d 275, 276-77 (5th Cir. 1988).